

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023 I www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,470	01/23/2002	Carolyn Caes	05725.0347-01	9229
22852	7590 03/17/2003	•		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LLI		GEORGE, KONATA M		
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
WASHINGTON, DC 20000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1616	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003	10
			•	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/052,470	CAES ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Konata M. George	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	Sehruany 2003				
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.				
3)□	,—	·	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims					
-	Claim(s) 49-78 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51-66</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>49,50,73 and 74</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-72 and 75-78</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
الاردا		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a	The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been re	eceived.			
, تصارح. Attachment		- p.101.1., alladi do 0.0.0. 33 1/				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,470

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 49-78 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

- 1. The rejection of claims 49, 67, 69, 71, 73, 75 and 77 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of US Patent 6,423,306 B2 is hereby withdrawn as a timely filed terminal disclaimer was filed.
- 2. The rejection of claims 49, 50, 73 and 74 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over DesLauriers et al. is being maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office action.
- 3. Claims 68, 70, 72, 76 and 78 were allowed in the previous office action, however, that was incorrect and the allowance is withdrawn.
- 4. Claims 67-72 and 75-78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that DesLauriers suggest using the gels as "substitutes" for water-soluble polymers in products such as rouge-cream, eyeliner liquid, and the like.

Applicant also argues that examiner is silent with respect to claim 50 containing at least one radical copolymer and at least one tri-block copolymer. Column 7, lines 28-31 and

Application/Control Number: 10/052,470

Art Unit: 1616

39-45 teaches that the compositions can be used for makeup, mascara, lipsticks, deodorants, etc. it is known in the art that these cosmetic formulations contains filmforming agents. With respect to claim 50, it is taught in DesLauriers col. 2, lines 33-45 teach a composition containing a blend of polymers containing at least two polymers one of which must be a di-block or a triblock copolymer. Claim 50 was addressed in the rejection of record that the composition of DesLauriers is a blend of polymers which must contain either a di-block or tri-block polymer in combination with a di-block, tri-block, radial or multiblock polymers together with a hydrocarbon oil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the claimed combination through routine experimentation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,470

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4646. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, José Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

1616